



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,437	12/06/2001	F. Murphy Sprinkel JR.	033018-070	7405
759	90 08/23/2004		EXAM	INER
Peter K. Skiff BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.			EREZO, DARWIN P	
P.O. Box 1404	IE, SWECKER & MATI	115, L.L.P.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA	22313-1404		3731	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i.			. A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	9/				
Office Action Summan	10/003,437	SPRINKEL ET AL.	//(
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	//				
	Darwin P. Erezo	3731	······································				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	lay 2004.						
· 	action is non-final.						
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	I53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-21 and 23-37</u> is/are pending in the							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>26-31</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>18-21,23-25 and 32-37</u> is/are rejected	1.						
,	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		. 4 4047 1)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11) The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Onic	e Action of form PTC	J-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv	ition No	tage				
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·od					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	rea.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔯 Interview Summai Paper No(s)/Mail I						
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-940) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_ 🗖 ' ' ' '	Patent Application (PTO-	152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/003,437 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 18, 21, 25 and 32-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,665,262 to Hajaligol et al.
- 3. As to claims 18, 21, Hajaligol teaches a method of manufacturing a vaporizing device comprising the steps of providing a fluid passage in a body (see Fig. 10), the fluid passage having an inlet opening and an outlet opening; and forming a tubular heater (the device shown in Fig. 10) by depositing a thin resistive film (col. 19, lines 41-46) inside the fluid passage such that the film lines part of the length of the passage; the heater being operable to volatilize fluid in the passage by passing an electrical current through the film (via electrical leads **104a** and **104b**; wherein the depositing step comprises coating the interior with a layer of metal powder (col. 13, line 59).
- 4. As to claim 25, Hajaligol teaches a method of manufacturing a vaporizing device.
- 5. As to claim 32, Fig. 10 of Hajaligol discloses the device made by the method of claim 26. Since the claim is directed towards a device claim, the specific depositing steps of the method is irrelevant since patentable weight is given to the final product and not the method of making the product.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,437 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

3

6. As to claims 33 and 35, Hajaligol discloses the use of platinum (col. 14, line 9).

- 7. As to claim 34, Hajaligol discloses the fluid passage located in a multilayer body (see Fig. 10).
- 8. As to claim 36, Hajaligol discloses a bio-compatible heater.
- 9. As to claim 37, Hajaligol discloses a device having several layers enclosing a channel therebetween (see Fig. 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 19, 20 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,665,262 to Hajaligol et al. to US 5,519,191 to Ketcham et al.
- 12. As to claim 19, 20 and 24, Hajaligol teaches a depositing step consisting of plasma coating but is silent comprising introducing a metal in dispersion in the flow passage and using platinum salt during the depositing step. Hajaligol discloses a depositing step comprising introducing a metal in dispersion (col. 6, lines 27-53) and using platinum salt during the depositing step (col. 6, lines 36-43) and coating the interior with a metal salt solution and heating the passage to a temperature sufficient to reduce the deposited material to a thin metal film (col. 7, lines 34-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to use the depositing step

Art Unit: 3731

of Ketcham in the method of Hajaligol since using a specific depositing step is a matter of obvious design choice available to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 26-31 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 14. Applicant's arguments filed 5/13/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 15. In response to applicant's argument that Hajaligol fails to teach a tubular heater, it should be noted that Fig. 10 specifically teaches a tubular heater, which is viewed as a combination of tube 300 and heater blades 120. Furthermore, the heater blades 120 satisfy the claim limitation of lining "part of the length of the passage".

Conclusion

16. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3731

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (703) 605-0420. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott or Shaver can be reached on (703)308-0858. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

de

GLENN K. DAWSON
PRIMARY EXAMINATION